

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

Under section 9FE of the Local Government Act 2000, Overview and Scrutiny Committees must require the Cabinet or local authority to respond to a report or recommendations made thereto by an Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Such a response must be provided within two months from the date on which it is requested¹ and, if the report or recommendations in questions were published, the response also must be so.

This template provides a structure which respondents are encouraged to use. However, respondents are welcome to depart from the suggested structure provided the same information is included in a response. The usual way to publish a response is to include it in the agenda of a meeting of the body to which the report or recommendations were addressed.

Issue: **Road Safety**

Lead Cabinet Member(s): **Cllr Andrew Gant, Cabinet Member for Transport Management**

Date response requested:² **17 March 2026**

Response to recommendations:

Recommendation	Accepted, rejected or partially accepted	Proposed action (if different to that recommended) and indicative timescale (unless rejected)
1. That the Council should work to improve the post-collision responses, especially between the Council and TVP, in regard to communication and follow-up, particularly about serious incidents.		

¹ Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

² Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

<p>2. That the Council should strengthen communication between officers and members, with clearer reporting routes and updates.</p>		
<p>3. That the Council should ensure Vision Zero/road safety data is taken to Locality meetings for more granular analysis.</p>		
<p>4. That the Council should update the Vision Zero Action Plan (Annex 1 and Annex A) so that actions marked as complete or overdue are properly recorded.</p>		
<p>5. That the Council should launch a campaign to recruit more Bikeability instructors and, also, investigate the underlying limiting factors.</p>		
<p>6. That the Council should improve the road safety website and marketing, ensuring clearer public information and better visibility of safety campaigns.</p>		
<p>7. That the Council should encourage primary schools to have local leads for road safety.</p>		
<p>8. That the Council should develop a specific pedestrian safety programme,</p>		

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

focused on areas with high numbers of fatal and serious pedestrian casualties.		
9. That the Council should work with Thames Valley Police to encourage the increase of enforcement, including use of more speed cameras.		
10. That the Council should review the use and deployment of Vehicle Activated Signs (VAS) to assess effectiveness and improve the process.		
11. That the Council should advocate nationally for improved HGV safety standards (e.g., type-approval requirements).		